

United S1. S PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/421,434	10/19/1999	TAKAAKI ASADA	36856.00226	4142
75	90 04/14/2003			
JOSEPH R KEATING ESQ KEATING & BENNETT, LLP 10400 EATON PLACE, SUITE 312 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			EXAMINER	
			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	NTHONY D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	۷/
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	\mathcal{U}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/421,434	ASADA, TAKAAKI			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dexter Tugbang	3729			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shet v	vith the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of thi 1) a timely filed amendme	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 April 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Attachment.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		en considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed So	DLELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
I. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3729 Application/Control Number: 09/421,434

Art Unit: 3729

Attachment to Advisory Action

In the proposed After Final amendment filed 4/2/03 (Paper No. 19), the new limitations added in Claims 1 and 14 (last two lines of each), particularly to the phrase of "an electronic device", narrows the scope of the claims requiring further consideration and search by the examiner.

Regarding the amendment to the specification (the paragraph bridging pages 12 and 13), this amendment appears to introduce new matter into the specification as it appears that the specification, as originally filed, did not provide support for the number of driving cycles being at "600,000 cycles".

Page 2